



$$D_S^{*+} \rightarrow D_S^+ e^+ e^-$$

Souvik Das, Anders Ryd  
Cornell University

## Contents

## DeltaM and mBC Sidebands – Updated

Mode	$\Delta M < 0.5$ GeV					$\Delta M < 0.3$ GeV				
	ddmix MC	cont MC	tot MC	data	$\frac{\text{data}}{\text{MC}}$	ddmix MC	cont MC	tot MC	data	$\frac{\text{data}}{\text{MC}}$
$K^+K^-\pi^+$	$43 \pm 1.5$	$6.2 \pm 1.1$	$49 \pm 1.8$	$97 \pm 9.8$	$2 \pm 0.21$	$4.3 \pm 0.46$	$0.4 \pm 0.28$	$4.7 \pm 0.54$	$9 \pm 3$	$1.9 \pm 0.68$
$K_S K^+$	$13 \pm 0.82$	$7.2 \pm 1.2$	$21 \pm 1.5$	$28 \pm 5.3$	$1.4 \pm 0.27$	$2.2 \pm 0.33$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$3 \pm 0.52$	$4 \pm 2$	$1.3 \pm 0.71$
$\eta\pi^+$	$3.1 \pm 0.4$	$13 \pm 1.6$	$16 \pm 1.6$	$26 \pm 5.1$	$1.6 \pm 0.36$	$0.35 \pm 0.13$	$2.2 \pm 0.66$	$2.6 \pm 0.68$	$4 \pm 2$	$1.6 \pm 0.89$
$\eta'\pi^+$	$2.5 \pm 0.35$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$2.7 \pm 0.4$	$3 \pm 1.7$	$1.1 \pm 0.68$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$	$0$
$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0$	$150 \pm 2.73$	$23.8 \pm 2.2$	$173 \pm 3.5$	$400 \pm 20$	$2.31 \pm 0.12$	$17.1 \pm 0.9$	$3 \pm 0.8$	$20.1 \pm 1.2$	$41 \pm 6.4$	$2.03 \pm 0.34$
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$	$28.6 \pm 1.19$	$72.4 \pm 3.8$	$101 \pm 4.0$	$225 \pm 15$	$2.23 \pm 0.17$	$2.6 \pm 0.36$	$7.8 \pm 1.25$	$10.4 \pm 1.3$	$15 \pm 3.87$	$1.44 \pm 0.41$
$K^{*+}K^{*0}$	$42.8 \pm 1.46$	$4.4 \pm 0.94$	$47.2 \pm 1.7$	$144 \pm 12$	$3.05 \pm 0.28$	$7.1 \pm 0.6$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$7.9 \pm 0.72$	$10 \pm 3.16$	$1.27 \pm 0.42$
$\eta\rho^+$	$35.4 \pm 1.33$	$57.6 \pm 3.39$	$93 \pm 3.65$	$128 \pm 11.3$	$1.38 \pm 0.133$	$4.05 \pm 0.45$	$8.2 \pm 1.28$	$12.2 \pm 1.36$	$16 \pm 4$	$1.31 \pm 0.357$
$\eta'\pi^+$	$24.1 \pm 1.1$	$45.6 \pm 3.02$	$69.7 \pm 3.21$	$136 \pm 11.7$	$1.95 \pm 0.19$	$3.05 \pm 0.391$	$6.2 \pm 1.11$	$9.25 \pm 1.18$	$9 \pm 3$	$0.973 \pm 0.347$

Mode	$m_{BC} < 2.102$ GeV					$m_{BC} > 2.122$ GeV				
	ddmix MC	cont MC	tot MC	data	$\frac{\text{data}}{\text{MC}}$	ddmix MC	cont MC	tot MC	data	$\frac{\text{data}}{\text{MC}}$
$K^+K^-\pi^+$	$1.1 \pm 0.23$	$0.4 \pm 0.28$	$1.5 \pm 0.36$	$5 \pm 2.2$	$3.4 \pm 1.8$	$0.5 \pm 0.16$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.5 \pm 0.16$	$2 \pm 1.4$	$4 \pm 3.1$
$K_S K^+$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$1 \pm 0.45$	$1.8 \pm 0.49$	$3 \pm 1.7$	$1.7 \pm 1.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.22$	$2 \pm 1.4$	$5 \pm 4.5$
$\eta\pi^+$	$0.05 \pm 0.05$	$0.6 \pm 0.35$	$0.65 \pm 0.35$	$2 \pm 1.4$	$3.1 \pm 2.7$	$0.05 \pm 0.05$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.05 \pm 0.05$	$0 \pm 0$	$0$
$\eta'\pi^+$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm \text{nan}$	$0.1 \pm 0.071$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.07$	$0 \pm 0$	$0$
$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0$	$8.5 \pm 0.7$	$1.8 \pm 0.6$	$10 \pm 0.9$	$8 \pm 3$	$0.78 \pm 0.28$	$3.1 \pm 0.4$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$3.9 \pm 0.6$	$7 \pm 2.6$	$1.8 \pm 0.7$
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$	$1.1 \pm 0.23$	$3.6 \pm 0.85$	$4.7 \pm 0.88$	$6 \pm 2.4$	$1.3 \pm 0.58$	$0.5 \pm 0.16$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.3 \pm 0.43$	$0 \pm 0$	$0$
$K^{*+}K^{*0}$	$2 \pm 0.32$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$2.2 \pm 0.38$	$3 \pm 1.7$	$1.3 \pm 0.8$	$1 \pm 0.22$	$0 \pm 0$	$1 \pm 0.22$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm \text{nan}$
$\eta\rho^+$	$1.4 \pm 0.26$	$3.6 \pm 0.85$	$5 \pm 0.89$	$5 \pm 2.2$	$1 \pm 0.48$	$0.7 \pm 0.19$	$2.6 \pm 0.72$	$3.3 \pm 0.74$	$3 \pm 1.7$	$0.91 \pm 0.56$
$\eta'\pi^+$	$1.1 \pm 0.24$	$3.4 \pm 0.82$	$4.5 \pm 0.86$	$2 \pm 1.4$	$0.44 \pm 0.32$	$0.35 \pm 0.13$	$1.4 \pm 0.53$	$1.8 \pm 0.55$	$1 \pm 1$	$0.57 \pm 0.6$

## DeltaM and mBC Sidebands – Updated

### Possible Reasons of Discrepancy

1. Electrons of events in the sidebands are very soft and the reconstruction efficiency is not modeled accurately in Generic MC
2. 3 sigma  $dE/dx$  is applied as a track quality criterion in my n-tuplizer. We should try taking this out and see if Generic MC matches data any closer. If so, then Generic MC isn't accurately modeling the  $dE/dx$ .

- Also looking into Ds mass sideband.
- Am going to reproduce these tables for Dataset48 which has  $\sim 200$  /pb of data.

## Signal Region -- Updated

Mode	Generic MC Signal Region	Continuum MC Signal Region	Total MC Signal Region	Data	Signal Expected	Conversions Expected
$K^+K^-\pi^+$	$0.55 \pm 0.17$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.55 \pm 0.17$	$3 \pm 1.7$	4.0	0.23
$K_S K^+$	$0.15 \pm 0.09$	$0.4 \pm 0.28$	$0.55 \pm 0.30$	$0 \pm 0$	1.1	0.04
$\eta\pi^+$	$0.05 \pm 0.05$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.05 \pm 0.05$	$2 \pm 1.4$	0.5	0.02
$\eta'\pi^+$	$0.1 \pm 0.07$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.07$	$1 \pm 1$	0.3	0.008
$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0$	$1.65 \pm 0.29$	$0.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.85 \pm 0.35$	$4 \pm 2$	1.7	0.18
$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.282843$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$1 \pm 1$	1.19	0.02
$K^{*+}K^{*0}$	$0.35 \pm 0.13$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.35 \pm 0.13$	$1 \pm 1$	0.64	0.04
$\eta\rho^+$	$0.45 \pm 0.15$	$1.4 \pm 0.52915$	$1.85 \pm 0.55$	$2 \pm 1.41421$	1.6	0.05
$\eta'\pi^+$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$1 \pm 0.412311$	$0 \pm 0$	0.63	0.06

What are those events in the signal region of the Generic MC?

1.  $\pi^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$  (overwhelmingly)
2. Two gamma  $\rightarrow e^+ e^-$  in the same event.

Dalitz decay of pion may be beaten by cutting on the invariant mass of the  $e^+ e^-$  and a photon in the event. (Looking into this ASAP)

Is the generic MC modeling the inclusive pion production accurately?

## DeltaM and mBC Sidebands – Updated

### Possible Reasons of Discrepancy

1. Electrons of events in the sidebands are very soft and the reconstruction efficiency is not modeled accurately in Generic MC
2. 3 sigma  $dE/dx$  is applied as a track quality criterion in my n-tuplizer. We should try taking this out and see if Generic MC matches data any closer. If so, then Generic MC isn't accurately modeling the  $dE/dx$ .

## Reprocessing

Dataset 48 is ~ 50% done.

We estimate it'll take till this weekend to get that done.

Dan has staged in all but 32 out of ~1000 runs of Dataset 47.