Update on Benchmarking

Jeff Smith June 5th, 2006

Working on converging BMAD/ILCv, MatLIAR and SLEPT DFS results

- Much progress on careful comparisons between MatLIAR DFS and ILCv DFS
 - MatLIAR now has "Jeff" mode that mimics my DFS algorithm (versus PT's original) modes called "jeff'-like and "PT"-like
 - Found bug in BMAD wakefield calculation with offset cavities
 - Results between ILCv and MatLIAR are very close for the same misalignment sets (see next slide)
 - Large spikes at beginning of linac in MatLIAR plots appears to be due to launch region resteering (i.e. definitely piculiar to PT's specific implementation -- my method doesn't produce them)

Results with Single Seed, MatLIAR vs. ILCv

DFS spikes with PT data 20060510



10 Seeds



100 Seed MatLIAR vs. ILCv 1 um Res.



Conclusion: For 100 seeds still limited by random number distribution, so, should use same seeds to get 10% level agreement.

SLEPT vs. ILCv

- Kiyoshi Kubo has three "modes" of DFS.
- He changes the energy by scaling all cavities by a constant value versus turning off an appropriate set of cavities (like MatLIAR and ILCv)
- Resteering method is different
- Implemented his three modes in ILCv



100 seeds

Again, mode 2 agrees very well with "Jeff" mode.

Kubo vs. Jeff DFS 100 seeds 1 um BPM resolution 20060602



Conclusions

- Three of the four LET codes (MatLIAR, SLEPT and ILCv) were able to converge on DFS performance.
- Still little bumps (not spikes anymore) in MatLIAR DFS (will investigate).
- Kiyoshi Kubo's mode 2 works just as well as "Jeff"like DFS and yet is simpler because it uses fewer steps.
 - However, found to be much more sensitive to BPM resolution so it may not be as robust (will investigate)
- Still one more code: Daniel Schulte's PLACET
 - need to get his group involved